

A Field Report on Iraya: Preliminary Findings on Language Vitality and Morphosyntax

Elsie Marie T. Or (*University of the Philippines Diliman*)
Ivan Paul Bondoc (*University of Hawaii at Manoa*)

We present preliminary findings on the language vitality and morphosyntactic properties of a language at risk of being endangered, Iraya. Iraya is a language spoken by one of the eight indigenous Mangyan groups on the island of Mindoro, Philippines. Research on Iraya has been very limited, only covering its genetic relationship with other Mangyan and Philippine languages (Zorc, 1974; Barbian, 1977; Reid, 2017), or with its phonology and morphology. This study aimed to fill in these gaps by investigating the morphosyntactic properties of the language, as well as its language vitality.

Method. The Iraya data were gathered from fieldwork (April 2014) in Baras, Oriental Mindoro, Philippines. Four native language speakers, who are also fluent in Tagalog, were consulted during this time. Two instruments, a Swadesh list modified for Philippine languages [500 lexical items], and a Tagalog sentence list [570 items; Hernandez (2015)], were used to elicit data. To verify the initial data, another native Iraya Mangyan speaker was interviewed on January – February 2017.

Findings on Language Vitality. While Iraya is identified as a “developing” language based on EGIDS (Simons & Fennig, 2018), field observations and interviews report the language being rapidly replaced by Tagalog as its speakers shift to this dominant language of the region. Many Iraya parents no longer pass down the language to their children, and students also do not learn nor use it in schools. While a major educational reform in the country has pushed for the Mother-Tongue Based Multilingual Education (MTB-MLE), early education for the Iraya community faces concerns such as the lack of licensed Iraya teachers and inadequate Iraya teaching materials.

Preliminary Findings on Basic Morphosyntactic Properties. As is with most Philippine languages, Iraya is a primarily predicate-initial language. Verbs contain a voice affix that identify the syntactically prominent element (the *pivot*). The pivot argument is always marked with either *da* or *pag*. Non-pivot core arguments can be optionally marked with *da* or *pag*, while oblique arguments are marked with *sa* (for common nouns) or *kay* (for proper nouns) (1)-(3).

(1) Agent Voice

Mag-tabuy **da** **unga** da butakan sa danggasen.
AV.NPST-give **CORE** **child** CORE flower OBL young woman
'The child gives the flower to the young woman.'

(2) Patient Voice

Nag-tabuy da unga **da** **butakan** sa danggasen.
PV.NPST-give CORE child **CORE** **flower** OBL young woman
'The child gives the flower to the young woman.'

(3) Goal Voice

T<in>abuy-**an** da unga butakan **da** **danggasen.**
<PST>give-**GV** CORE child flower **OBL** **young woman**
'The child gives the flower to the young woman.'

Agents encoded as personal pronouns tend to occur in the pre-verbal position (4)-(6).

(4) Agent Voice

Aku **mag**-tabuy da butakan sa danggasen.
1SG.PVT AV.NPST-give CORE flower OBL young woman
'I give the flower to the young woman.'

(5) Patient Voice

Na'ay **nag-**tabuy da butakan sa danggasen.
1SG.NPVT **PV.NPST**-give CORE flower OBL young woman
'I give the flower to the young woman.'

(6) Goal Voice

Na'ay t<in>abuy-**an** butakan da danggasen.
1SG.NPVT <PST>give-**GV** flower OBL young woman
'I give the flower to the young woman.'

Given that core arguments receive the same nominal marking, word order is relatively strict in this language which disambiguates the thematic roles of arguments. As observed from the data, the basic constituent order of Iraya tends to be agent-patient-goal-oblique:

(7) T<in>abuy-an da unga bungkalo da ido sa balay.
<PST>give-GV CORE child bone CORE bone OBL house
'The child give the dog a bone in the house.'

Most Iraya transitive verbs take the following affixes based on the following voice/aspect paradigm. What is interesting from this paradigm is how the Proto-Austronesian agent voice affix *maR- or *maŋ- (Blust, 2009) manifests as the Iraya patient voice nag-.

Table 1. Voice affixes for the different aspectual types.

Voice	Past	Non-past
AV	-um-	mag-
PV	-in-	nag-

While these findings remain preliminary, more work is necessary to verify these findings. We recommend further research to explore (1) how the pivot behaves in various syntactic operations; (2) the influences of animacy to word order; as well as (3) the variation of verb affixes depending on voice and aspect. Nevertheless, findings from understudied language such as Iraya offers useful contributions to typological, theoretical, and historical linguistics. These study results may also be beneficial in the creation of pedagogical materials that may be utilized for future language revitalization.

GLOSS: CORE = core argument; NPST = non-past; NPVT = non-pivot; OBL = oblique; PST = past; PVT = pivot

References:

Barbian, K. J. (1977). *The Mangyan languages of Mindoro: A comparative study on vocabulary, phonology and morphology*. [Unpublished Master's Thesis]. University of San Carlos, Cebu.

Blust, R. A. (2009). *The Austronesian Languages* (Vol. 602). Canberra: Research School of Pacific and Asian Studies, Australian National University.

Hernandez, J. F. C. (2004). Modified Swadesh Word List. Diliman, Quezon City: University of the Philippines Diliman.

Hernandez, J. F. C. (2004). Modified Constantino Sentence Elicitation List. Diliman, Quezon City: University of the Philippines Diliman.

Reid, L. A. (2017). Re-evaluating the position of Iraya among Philippine languages. In Liao, H-C. (Ed.) *Issues in Austronesian Historical Linguistics*. JSEALS Special Publication No. 1. Hawai'i: University of Hawai'i Press.

Simons, G. F., & Fennig, C. D. (Eds.) (2017). *Ethnologue: Languages of the world*. (12th Ed.) Dallas, Texas: SIL International. Retrieved from <https://www.ethnologue.com/>

Tweddell, C. E. (1958). *The Iraya (Mangyan) language of Mindoro, Philippines (phonology and morphology)*. [Ph.D. Dissertation]. University of Washington, Seattle.

Zorc, D. (1974). Internal and external relationships of the Mangyan languages. *Oceanic Linguistics*, 13(1/2), 561 – 600.